



AnesthesiaFile®

POWERED BY DANNEMILLER®

20XX

Clinical and Genetic Factors Associated with Post-Operative Nausea and Vomiting After Propofol Anaesthesia.

Comparison of Mepivacaine 2% and Isobaric Bupivacaine 0.5% Spinal Anesthetics for Total Knee Arthroplasty.

Determining Residual Gastric Volume in Healthy Children Using Ultrasound.

Recent COVID-19 Infection is Associated with Increased Mortality in the Ambulatory Surgery Population.

Risk Factors for Recurrence of Post-Dural Puncture Headache Following an Epidural Blood Patch: A Retrospective Cohort Study.



Volume XX: Issue 5 / May 20XX- SAMPLE



DANNEMILLER™
Healthcare Education



JOINTLY ACCREDITED PROVIDER™
INTERPROFESSIONAL CONTINUING EDUCATION

In support of improving patient care, Dannemiller is jointly accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME), the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE), and the American Nurses Credentialing Center (ANCC), to provide continuing education for the healthcare team.

Accreditation and Contact Information

Release Date: May 1, 20XX - Sample

Expiration Date: December 31, 20XX, 11:59 PM CT

AnesthesiaFile® (ISSN 0740-1914) is published monthly by Dannemiller, Inc. Each volume consists of 12 issues published monthly. Office: 5711 Northwest Parkway, San Antonio, TX 78249-3360.

Intended Audience

Anesthesiologists, Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetists, and other anesthesiology professionals.

Learning Objectives

Each issue of AnesthesiaFile® contains 30 educationally sound, original learning objectives. Refer to each issue.

Statement of Need

Anesthesiologists, CRNAs, and other anesthesia professionals must continually update their degree of knowledge on the latest medical advances and cutting-edge research. Our subscribers have clearly indicated that the information provided in AnesthesiaFile® Pro/Premium facilitates learning. Participants have overwhelmingly indicated that the journal provides information that is relevant to their practice, helps keep them current in the field, and they would not have read it if they did not subscribe to AnesthesiaFile® Pro/Premium.

Method of Participation

This month's material should take approximately 3 hours to complete. Participants should first read the objectives and other introductory CME/CE information, then read each abstract carefully. To receive credit for this material, participants must complete the post-test with a passing score of 80%, then complete the evaluation. CRNAs are not permitted to retest. The 2025 Activities expire on December 31, 2027 and the 2026 Activities expire on December 31, 2028. No credit can be given after these expiration dates. In the event you have questions about this activity or are unable to print the certificate, please email cme@dannemiller.com and a certificate will be emailed within 2 weeks.

System Requirements

- Computer, tablet, or smartphone with internet access
- Web browser (Chrome, Firefox, IE 11+) with JavaScript enabled
- HTML5 compatible browser or Adobe Flash Player

Accreditation



JOINTLY ACCREDITED PROVIDER™
INTERPROFESSIONAL CONTINUING EDUCATION

In support of improving patient care, Dannemiller is jointly accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME), the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE), and the American Nurses Credentialing Center (ANCC), to provide continuing education for the healthcare team.

Physicians

Dannemiller designates this enduring material for a maximum of 3.0 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™* per issue. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

This activity contributes to the CME component of the American Board of Anesthesiology's redesigned Maintenance of Certification in Anesthesiology™ (MOCA®) program, known as MOCA 2.0®. Please consult the ABA website, www.theABA.org, for a list of all MOCA 2.0 requirements.

ABA MOCA® Part 2. This activity fulfills the general CME requirement for MOCA Part 2.

Through the American Board of Medical Specialties ("ABMS") ongoing commitment to increase access to practice relevant Continuing Certification Activities through the [ABMS Continuing Certification Directory](#), AnesthesiaFile has met the requirements as a **Lifelong Learning CME Activity** (apply toward general CME requirement) for the following ABMS Member Boards: Anesthesiology.

Canada - Through an agreement between the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education and the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada, medical practitioners participating in the Royal College MOC Program may record completion of accredited activities registered under the ACCME's "CME in Support of MOC" program in Section 3 of the Royal College's MOC Program. [International accreditation agreements \(royalcollege.ca\)](#)

Europe - European Union of Medical Specialists (UEMS) recognizes *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™* through their mutual recognition agreement with the American Medical Association.
[Agreement with European Union of Medical Specialists \(UEMS\) | American Medical Association \(ama-assn.org\)](#)

Registered Nurses

Dannemiller designates this educational activity for 3.0 contact hours per issue.

Nurse Anesthetists

AnesthesiaFile® is in compliance with the current Maintaining Anesthesia Certification (MAC) Program requirements, created by NBCRNA, with credits classified as MAC Ed credits. CRNAs may also apply excess MAC Ed assessed CE credits to fulfill the MAC Dev requirements. Please visit the NBCRNA website for more information regarding the MAC Program and how it will affect your upcoming recertification.

- AnesthesiaFile® Pro/Premium 2025 has been prior approved by the American Association of Nurse Anesthesiology for 36 MAC Ed credits; AANA Code Number 1045188; Expiration Date 12/31/2027
- AnesthesiaFile® Pro/Premium 2026 has been prior approved by the American Association of Nurse Anesthesiology for 36 MAC Ed credits; AANA Code Number 1046212; Expiration Date 12/31/2028

AANA designates AnesthesiaFile® Pro/Premium as meeting the criteria for up to 12.00 CE Credits in Pharmacology & Therapeutics.

NOTE: Credit designations for physicians and registered nurses are identified per module whereas AANA guidelines stipulate that AANA credit be identified for the totality of the program.

Faculty

Editor-in-Chief: James B. Eisenkraft, MD, Professor Emeritus

Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Management
Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai
New York, NY

Assistant Editor: Sandra M. Ouellette, CRNA, MEd, DSc (Hon), FAAN, Former Director, Nurse Anesthesia Program

Wake Forest University School of Medicine
Winston-Salem, NC

Publications Project Manager: Theresa M. Nycz

San Antonio, TX

Disclosures

In accordance with the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) Standards for Integrity and Independence, Dannemiller requires that any person who is in a position to control the content of a CME activity must disclose all financial relationships they have with an ineligible company within the last 24 months. If an individual refuses to disclose, they are disqualified from participating in the activity. The disclosures are evaluated for relevance. All relevant financial relationships have been mitigated. The resulting certified activity was found to provide educational content that is current and evidence-based to protect learners from commercial bias and marketing.

A professional healthcare provider abstracts every article. Dannemiller abstractors (Nancy Bruton-Maree, Linda Easley, and Paige Robinson) have noted they have nothing to disclose. Dannemiller abstractors have no financial relationships with ineligible companies.

James B. Eisenkraft, MD, Editor-in-Chief, has found this certified activity to provide educational content that is current, evidence-based, and commercially-balanced. James B. Eisenkraft, MD, Sandra Ouellette, Associate Editor, and Theresa Nycz, Dannemiller Publications Project Manager have no financial relationships with ineligible companies.

Off-label Statement

This educational activity may contain discussion of published and/or investigational uses of agents that are not indicated by FDA. Opinions expressed in the educational activity are those of the faculty. Please refer to the official prescribing information for each product for discussion of approved indications, contraindications, and warnings. Further, participants should appraise the information presented critically and are encouraged to consult appropriate resources for any product or device mentioned in this program.

Disclaimer

The content and views presented in these educational activities are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of Dannemiller. Portions of these materials may have been developed with the assistance of artificial intelligence tools under human supervision and approval to ensure process integrity. This material is prepared based upon a review of multiple sources of information but is not exhaustive of the subject matter. Healthcare professionals and other individuals should review and consider other publications and materials on the subject matter before relying solely upon the information contained within this educational activity.

Table of Contents

1. Baettig S J, et al. Pre-operative Gastric Ultrasound in Patients at Risk of Pulmonary Aspiration: A Prospective Observational Cohort Study.
2. Boesing C, et al. Effects of Individualised Positive End-expiratory Pressure Titration on Respiratory and Haemodynamic Parameters During the Trendelenburg Position with Pneumoperitoneum. A Randomised Crossover Physiologic Trial.
3. Cai W, et al. Effects of Laryngeal Mask Ventilation on Postoperative Atelectasis in Children Undergoing Day Surgery: A Randomized Controlled Trial.
4. Chaturvedi R, et al. United States Rural Residence is Associated with Increased Acute Maternal End-organ Injury or Mortality After Birth: A Retrospective Multi-state Analysis, 2007-2018.
5. Critchley J D, et al. Simple Steps Towards Improving Safety in Obstetric Airway Management. A Quality Improvement Project.
6. Cukierman D S, et al. Nasal Continuous Positive Pressure Versus Simple Face Mask Oxygenation for Adult Obese and Obstructive Sleep Apnea Patients Undergoing Colonoscopy Under Propofol-based General Anesthesia Without Tracheal Intubation: A Randomized Controlled Trial.
7. De A, et al. A Comparative Evaluation of LMA[®] Gastro[™] versus Gastro Laryngeal Tube for Airway Management in Patients Undergoing Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography Under General Anesthesia: A Randomized Control Study.
8. Douglas N, et al., Vasopressors to Treat Postoperative Hypotension After Adult Noncardiac, Non-obstetric Surgery: A Systematic Review.
9. Edmark L, et al. Pressure-controlled Versus Manual Facemask Ventilation for Anaesthetic Induction in Adults: A Randomised Controlled Non-inferiority Trial.
10. Glarner N, et al. Guideline Adherence to Statin Therapy and Association with Short-term Cardiac Complications Following Noncardiac Surgery. A Cohort Study.
11. Henshaw D S, et al. Hypotension Duration and Vasopressor Requirements Following Intrathecal Oxytocin for Total Hip Arthroplasty: Secondary Analysis of a Randomized Controlled Trial.
12. Heybati K, et al. Appraisal of Postoperative Outcomes of Volatile and Intravenous Anesthetics: A Network Meta-analysis of Patients Undergoing Cardiac Surgery.
13. Hiruy A, et al. Hydroxocobalamin Versus Methylene Blue for the Treatment of Vasoplegic Shock Associated With Cardiopulmonary Bypass.
14. Joy P J, et al. Propofol-remifentanil Patient-controlled Sedation for Endoscopic Procedures: A Prospective Service Audit.
15. Karlsen K A H, et al. Incidence, Causes, and Management of Failed Awake Fiberoptic Intubation- A Retrospective Study of 833 Procedures.

16. Kim H-J, et al. Comparison of the Analgesic Efficacy between Arthroscopically Placed Continuous Suprascapular Nerve Block and Ultrasound-guided Continuous Superior Trunk Block: A Double-blinded Randomized Controlled Trial.
17. Kotani Y, et al. Etomidate as an Induction Agent for Endotracheal Intubation in Critically Ill Patients: A Meta-analysis of Randomized Trials.
18. Lin X, et al. Pericapsular Nerve Group (PENG) Block for Early Pain Management of Elderly Patients with Hip Fracture: A Single-center Double-blind Randomized Controlled Trial.
19. Ma X, et al. Individualised Positive End-expiratory Pressure Titrated Intra-operatively by Electrical Impedance Tomography Optimises Pulmonary Mechanics and Reduces Postoperative Atelectasis. A Randomised Controlled Trial.
20. Mohta M, et al. Calculation of Effective Dose of Phenylephrine Bolus for Treatment of Post-spinal Hypotension in Pre-eclamptic Patients Undergoing Caesarean Section - A Non-randomised Controlled Trial.
21. Mol K H J M, et al. Intraoperative Hypotension in Noncardiac Surgery Patients with Chronic Beta-Blocker Therapy: A Matched Cohort Analysis.
22. Oh E J, et al. Comparison of Propofol vs. Remimazolam on Emergence Profiles after General Anesthesia: A Randomized Clinical Trial.
23. Pappas M A, et al. Consequences of Preoperative Cardiac Stress Testing-A Cohort Study.
24. Park J-Y, et al. Vitamin C and Catheter-Related Bladder Discomfort After Transurethral Resection of Bladder Tumor: A Double-Blind, Randomized Placebo-Controlled Study.
25. Skrtic M, et al. Hemodynamic Safety and Effect of Dexmedetomidine on Superficial Cervical Block Quality for Carotid Endarterectomy: A Prospective Study.
26. Tomala S, et al. Risk Factors for Recurrence of Post-Dural Puncture Headache Following an Epidural Blood Patch: A Retrospective Cohort Study.
27. Vanpeteghem C M, et al. Blood Pressure Control with Phenylephrine or Dobutamine: A Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing Effects on Cerebral and Paravertebral Tissue Oxygen Measured with Near-Infrared Spectroscopy.
28. Vovk Racman P, et al. Comparison of Procedural Sedation With Propofol and Dexmedetomidine During Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement Using the Transfemoral Approach.
29. Wu L, et al. Effect of Neuromuscular Block on Surgical Conditions During Laparoscopic Surgery in Neonates and Small Infants: A Randomised Controlled Trial.
30. Yagani S, et al. Incidence of Interstitial Alveolar Syndrome on Point-of-Care Lung Ultrasonography in Pre-eclamptic Women with Severe Features: A Prospective Observational Study.

Article Abstracts

1

Baettig S J, et al. Pre-operative Gastric Ultrasound in Patients at Risk of Pulmonary Aspiration: A Prospective Observational Cohort Study.

Anaesthesia. 2023; 78: 1327-1337. 38 references.

Institute of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Klinik Hirslanden Zurich and the Medical Faculty of the University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. E-mail: michael.ganter@hirslanden.ch

Abstracted by L Easley, who has nothing to disclose.

Objective:

Assess gastric content using point-of-care ultrasound in patients at potential risk of pulmonary aspiration.

Gastric point-of-care ultrasound is a simple, non-invasive, and cost-effective diagnostic tool for managing patients at risk of gastric regurgitation. The authors test the hypothesis that routine gastric ultrasound can provide key information for preoperative risk assessment and impact anesthetic management of individual patients.

Adult patients with ≥ 1 risk factor for pulmonary aspiration (based on pre-defined departmental standards) were included in this single-center, prospective observational study. The anesthetist responsible for perioperative care rated patient risk of pulmonary aspiration as high or low using only clinical findings and patient history. Gastric sonography was then performed immediately before induction of anesthesia. After sonography and before induction of anesthesia, the anesthetist reassessed the individual aspiration risk and was allowed to adjust the induction plan accordingly to ensure a safe procedure. Patients with solid or mixed gastric content were classified as high risk, and patients with an empty stomach or fluid content below the cut-off were classified as low risk.

A total of 2003 patients were included in the study. Reflux was the most prevalent risk factor in elective settings, while abdominal pathology, nausea/vomiting, and recent trauma were the most frequent in emergency settings. In the 1246 patients undergoing elective surgery, 61% had an empty stomach, 28% had fluid, and 9% had solid or mixed gastric content. In the 757 patients undergoing emergency surgery, 56%, 29%, and 14% had empty, fluid, or solid gastric sonographic appearances, respectively. Multivariable logistic regression with binary (high vs. low) sonographic risk classification was used to determine the factors that had a strong association with increased risk of pulmonary aspiration. These included gastrointestinal obstruction, recent trauma, opioid use, and ASA physical status 4 vs. 1.

Pre-operative gastric sonography added useful information to the peri-operative care of patients at risk of pulmonary aspiration, who were undergoing elective or emergency surgery. Routine gastric ultrasound led to adjustment in individual risk assessment. Current estimation of aspiration risk and subsequent management does not allow for individualized management planning.

Important Points:

The authors concluded, "preoperative gastric ultrasound helps to identify high- and low-risk situations in patients at risk of aspiration, adds information to peri-operative airway management and can lead to changes to patient care." Individualized care and patient safety could be improved with routine use of pre-operative gastric ultrasound.

Boesing C, et al. Effects of Individualised Positive End-expiratory Pressure Titration on Respiratory and Haemodynamic Parameters During the Trendelenburg Position with Pneumoperitoneum. A Randomised Crossover Physiologic Trial.

Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2023; 40: 817-825. 44 references.

Dept of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, University Medical Center Mannheim, Theodor-Kutzer-Ufer 1-3, 68167 Mannheim, Germany. E-mail: joerg.krebs@umm.de

Abstracted by L Easley, who has nothing to disclose.

Objective:

Compare the short-term effects of different positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) strategies during Trendelenburg positioning with pneumoperitoneum.

Trendelenburg position with pneumoperitoneum during surgery impairs respiratory mechanics and dorsobasal atelectasis formation, which leads to increased lung stress. The authors determine whether individualized positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) titration strategies result in higher PEEP levels and end-expiratory transpulmonary pressure (P_{TP}) with favorable respiratory mechanics, gas-exchange, and hemodynamics compared with standard PEEP of 5 cmH₂O (PEEP₅) during the Trendelenburg position with pneumoperitoneum.

Included in this prospective, randomized crossover single-center physiologic trial were 36 patients scheduled for robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. Three different PEEP strategies were applied in random order in a crossover fashion: PEEP₅, lowest driving pressure-guided PEEP titration (PEEP_{ΔP}), and esophageal pressure-guided PEEP titration (PEEP_{Peso}) targeting an end-expiratory P_{TP} of 0 cmH₂O. The primary endpoint was the PEEP level when set according to PEEP_{ΔP} and PEEP_{Peso} strategies compared with PEEP₅. Secondary endpoints were respiratory mechanics, end-expiratory lung volume (EELV), gas-exchange, and hemodynamic parameters.

The study protocol was completed in all patients without hemodynamic instability occurring between the start and end of the study procedures. The set PEEP levels at PEEP_{ΔP} and PEEP_{Peso} were higher compared with PEEP₅. End-expiratory P_{TP} was higher when using PEEP_{Peso}. EELV was higher and dynamic lung strain was lower with PEEP_{Peso} and PEEP_{ΔP} compared with PEEP₅. PEEP_{Peso} resulted in lower cardiac output. Oxygen delivery was higher when using PEEP_{ΔP} compared with PEEP_{Peso}.

PEEP_{ΔP} minimized driving pressure, inspiratory lung stress, and dynamic elastic power. PEEP_{ΔP} and PEEP_{Peso} resulted in higher end-expiratory P_{TP} , lung volume, and improved oxygenation. PEEP₅ and PEEP_{ΔP} preserved cardiac output and oxygen delivery during surgery.

Important Points:

PEEP_{ΔP} improved the effects of the Trendelenburg position with pneumoperitoneum during surgery on end-expiratory P_{TP} and lung volume, decreased driving pressure and dynamic elastic power, and improved gas-exchange while preserving cardiac output.

Objective:

Evaluate the effect of laryngeal mask ventilation on postoperative atelectasis in children undergoing day surgery.

Atelectasis is a common perioperative complication in children with laryngeal mask general anesthesia. The authors compare the effects of laryngeal mask mechanical ventilation vs. preserved spontaneous breathing on postoperative atelectasis in children undergoing day surgery.

Children aged 3-7 years, undergoing elective day surgery for cryptorchidism or indirect inguinal hernia with operation time <2 hours, were included in this study from April-August 2022. A total of 46 children were randomly divided into a spontaneous breathing group and a mechanical ventilation group. A standard anesthetic protocol was used for all patients, including midazolam, propofol, and sufentanil. Lung ultrasound was performed before the induction of anesthesia and again 20 minutes after the removal of the laryngeal mask. Primary outcomes were the incidence of postoperative atelectasis and the postoperative atelectasis score. Secondary outcomes were baseline data, duration of anesthesia, duration of surgery, and vital signs at 3 time points (after insertion of the laryngeal mask, 10 minutes after the start of surgery, and before the removal of the laryngeal mask). Complications, such as reflux aspiration, were recorded.

The incidence of atelectasis in the spontaneous breathing group was 91.30% and in the mechanical ventilation group was 39.13%. No statistically significant differences were seen in the vital signs of the children at any time. The end-expiratory carbon dioxide was significantly lower in the mechanical ventilation group than in the spontaneous breathing group. In the second lung ultrasound examination, the postoperative pulmonary atelectasis score was significantly lower in the mechanical ventilation group than in the spontaneous breathing group.

Postoperative atelectasis can increase intrapulmonary shunt, lead to hypoxemia, increase the risk of postoperative pneumonia in children, and affect their safety during the perioperative period. While children undergoing day surgery have a short hospital stay, atelectasis remains in the body for a long time after surgery; this increases the risk of hypoxemia and pulmonary infection. The incidence of pulmonary atelectasis found in patients undergoing laryngeal mask general anesthesia is high, indicating that more attention should be given to children undergoing day surgery.

Important Points:

The use of mechanical ventilation in patients with laryngeal mask general anesthesia can reduce the incidence and severity of postoperative atelectasis and prevent the accumulation of carbon dioxide, without encountering complications such as reflux and aspiration.

Assessment

- 1. In the study by Baettig, et al., of pre-operative gastric ultrasound in patients at risk of pulmonary aspiration, what was the most prevalent risk factor in elective settings?**
 - a. Abdominal pathology
 - b. Nausea and vomiting
 - c. Reflux
 - d. Recent trauma

- 2. According to Boesing, et al., what is the effect of driving pressure-guided PEEP titration (PEEP_{ΔP}) on respiratory and hemodynamic parameters during the Trendelenburg position with pneumoperitoneum?**
 - a. Increased driving pressure
 - b. Increased dynamic elastic power
 - c. Lower cardiac output
 - d. Minimized inspiratory lung stress

- 3. According to Cai, et al., use of mechanical ventilation in children with laryngeal mask general anesthesia:**
 - a. Increased incidence of postoperative atelectasis
 - b. Reduced the incidence of postoperative atelectasis
 - c. Encouraged the accumulation of carbon dioxide
 - d. Increased reflux and aspiration

- 4. In the study by Chaturvedi, et al., about maternal outcomes, compared with residence in urban areas, rural residence was associated with:**
 - a. Highest adjusted increased odds of maternal end-organ injury
 - b. Lower odds of inpatient mortality
 - c. More often receiving neuraxial anesthesia for vaginal birth
 - d. Lower prevalence of women with substance abuse disorder

- 5. What did Critchley, et al., find in the study of an obstetric airway management quality improvement project?**
 - a. No change in the use of the checklist
 - b. Decreased use of ramped patient positioning
 - c. Increased use of videolaryngoscopy (VL)
 - d. Small, insignificant increase in use of low flow nasal oxygen

- 6. In the study by Cukierman, et al., oxygen delivered via nasal continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) in obese or obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) patients undergoing colonoscopy:**
 - a. Reduced the number of airway maneuvers
 - b. Improved oxygenation
 - c. Improved respiratory parameters
 - d. Increased airway maneuver performance time

- 27. What was found in the study by Vanpeteghem, et al., comparing blood pressure control with phenylephrine (PE) or dobutamine (Dobu)?**
- a. Blood pressure was better maintained in the Dobu group.
 - b. Cerebral oxygen saturation (rScO₂) was significantly increased in both groups.
 - c. Cardiac index (CI) decreased in both groups.
 - d. Neither PE nor Dobu affected paravertebral tissue oxygen saturation.
- 28. What was found in the study by Vovk Racman, et al., comparing propofol (PRO) and dexmedetomidine (DEX) for sedation during transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR)?**
- a. The PRO group required significantly more vasoactive agents.
 - b. The incidence of postoperative delirium (POD) was high in both the DEX and PRO groups.
 - c. The DEX group had more need for fentanyl for breakthrough analgesia.
 - d. The incidence of delayed neurocognitive recovery (DNCR) was significantly lower with DEX.
- 29. What was found in the study by Wu, et al., on the effect of neuromuscular block (NMB) on surgical conditions during laparoscopic surgery in neonates and small infants?**
- a. Time to tracheal extubation was longer in the no NMB group.
 - b. For neonates and small infants, moderate NMB was suggested as the best option.
 - c. Depth of NMB was not associated with better surgical conditions.
 - d. NMB was associated with an increase in adverse events during anesthesia induction and maintenance.
- 30. From the study by Yagani, et al., on the incidence of interstitial alveolar syndrome in preeclamptic women, which of the following is TRUE?**
- a. Bedside lung ultrasound (LUS) did not detect pulmonary interstitial edema.
 - b. Pulmonary interstitial syndrome correlated with diastolic dysfunction and high blood pressure.
 - c. At admission, the most frequent complaint from these preeclamptic patients was chest pain.
 - d. The B-line scores were significantly lower in patients with diastolic dysfunction.

PAINFILE AND ANESTHESIAFILE BUNDLE OFFER



AFile Basic + PainFile (Save \$58)

AFile Pro + PainFile (Save \$75)

Discount applies automatically in cart



Earn up to 100 CE credits within 2 years

With AnesthesiaFile and PainFile subscriptions



Accurate monthly reporting of credits

Reporting to ABA and AANA

[HTTPS://WWW.DANNEMILLER.COM/PUBLICATION-OFFERS](https://www.dannemiller.com/publication-offers)



\$275.00 / 24 Month / 40 CE Credits

- 20 Abstracted articles per month
- Extensive review of pain management literature



[HTTPS://WWW.DANNEMILLER.COM/PAINFILE](https://www.dannemiller.com/painfile)



WE OFFER DISCOUNTS FOR

GROUP ORDERS

Whether you are a small company, a big organization, or a private medical group. Our team is dedicated to offering you our best support.

Your team. Your CME.
Dannemiller will streamline the order process.

AnesthesiaFile is a monthly abstract subscription focused on anesthesia.

- Up to 60 CE credits per 2-year subscription
- 30 new abstracts released each month
- Credits reported monthly to AANA and ABA



Discount Tiers

- 5% discount: 5-9 users
- 10% discount: 10-24 users
- 15% discount: 25+ users



AnesthesiaFile Basic

- \$249 - 60 Credit Subscription
- Archive abstracts
- Monthly releases in 3 modules of 10



AnesthesiaFile Pro

- \$380 - 60 Credit Subscription
- Downloadable PDF
- 30 abstracts in each monthly release

For custom invoicing:

cme@dannemiller.com
1-800-328-2308



DANNEMILLER™
Healthcare Education